

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	D. 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/874,630		06/04/2001	Gary E. Sullivan	258/278	3402
30408	7590	06/08/2005		EXAM	INER
	AY, INC. ATENT AT	TORNEY	HERNANDEZ, OLGA		
	EWAY DR.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MAIL DR			2144		
N. SIOUX	CITY, SE	57049	DATE MAILED: 06/08/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/874,630	SULLIVAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Olga Hernandez	2144					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vortice to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thi vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI , cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/10/ 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal mat						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers	·						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 01 April 2002 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ obje drawing(s) be held in abeya ion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)	•						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date     </li> </ol>	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)  Office Ac	tion Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050603					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 5-8, 12-15, 18, 20, 22-23, 25-26, 28-30, 32-33, 35-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Morimoto et al (6,789,057).

As per claims 1, 20 and 26, Morimoto teaches selecting one of the plurality of servers having the highest conversion rating assigned thereto corresponding to the source format of the received file (column 8, lines 3-13). Morimoto is silent with respect to receiving a file on the client computer, wherein the file is written in a source format unreadable by the client computer. However, it is inherent that if a computer does not posses the resource/software/programs to recognize a file it will be unreadable to the computer. Morimoto teaches the client requests the server to retrieve information concerning entries, which do not exist on the client, and executes a translation (figure 1,

Application/Control Number: 09/874,630

Art Unit: 2144

column 4, lines 49-56). Moreover, Morimoto teaches the client side recognizes a portion, which cannot be translated such as an unknown word by using a dictionary and a grammar and also issues a retrieval request a translation knowledge such as dictionary information to the dictionary server (column 13, lines 31-36).

As per claim 2, Morimoto teaches the conversion format is readable by the client computer, and further wherein the selected server is capable of converting the received file written in the source format into the received file written in the conversion format (figures 1, column 13, lines 29-36).

As per claim 6, the use of different operating system for two different computers where one is a server and the other a client is inherent. (Windows).

As per claims 7, 18, 35 and 37, Morimoto teaches transmitting to the selected server the received file written in the source format unreadable by the client computer; receiving from the selected server the received file written in a conversion format readable by the client computer, wherein the received file written in the source format is converted by the selected server into the received file written in the conversion format; and displaying the received file written in the conversion format on the client computer using a native application on the client computer (figures 1, 18 and 21, column 4, lines 49-56, column 13, line 31-43).

As per claims 12 and 36, Morimoto teaches transmitting to the selected server the received file written in the source format unreadable by the client computer; and receiving from the selected server a location of the received file written in a conversion format readable by the client computer, wherein the received file written in the source

Application/Control Number: 09/874,630

Art Unit: 2144

format is converted by the selected server into the received file written in the conversion format (figures 1, 2, 24-29, column 5, lines 27-56, column 8, lines 3-13).

As per claim 13, Morimoto teaches directing a web browser application on the client computer to the location of the received file written in the conversion format on the selected server; and downloading the received file written in the conversion format from the selected server onto the client computer using the web browser application (figures 1, 2, 6, column 1, lines 17-20, 35-42).

As per claims 14 and 33, Morimoto teaches the URL and IP address (figures 1 and 2, column 5, line 50)

As per claims 8, 15, 29 and 32, Morimoto teaches the HTML format (figure 7, column 8, lines 25-44).

As per claims 5, 22 and 25, Morimoto teaches locating the entry in the lookup table corresponding to the source format of the received file; and selecting the server included in the located entry of the lookup table (figures 1, 2, 7, 12, 14 and 15).

As per claim 28, Morimoto teaches receiving contact information for the selected server from the resource locator server (figure 1, column 4, lines 49-60).

As per claim 30, Morimoto teaches selecting one of the plurality of servers having the highest conversion rating assigned thereto corresponding to the source format of the file archive; transmitting a location of the file archive on the Internet to the selected server; receiving from the selected server an index page including a plurality of links, each link corresponding to one of the plurality of files in the file archive written in a conversion format readable by the client computer, wherein the file archive written in the

source format is converted by the selected server into the file archive written in the conversion format; and displaying the index page on the client computer (figures 1, 18 and 21 column 4, lines 49-56, column 8, lines 3-13, column 13, lines 31-56).

As per claim 23, Morimoto teaches equipment for coupling to the network, wherein the client computer is capable of intermittently connecting to at least one of the plurality of sewers through the network; and a computer program stored on the memory and capable of being executed by the processor, wherein the program is capable of performing the steps of: receiving a file on the client computer, wherein the file is written in a source format unreadable by the client computer; and selecting one of the plurality of servers having the highest conversion rating assigned thereto corresponding to the source format of the received file (figures 1, 18 and 21 column 4, lines 49-56, column 8, lines 3-13, column 13, lines 31-56).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9, 10, 16, 31 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Morimoto et al (6,789,057) in view of Lau et al (6,832,380).

As per claims 9, 10, 16 and 31, Morimoto does not teach the source format being a compressed format and the conversion format for the file being decompressed format. However, Lau teaches it in figures 5, 12, column 5, lines 42-56. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to combine Morimoto's conversion with Lau's compress/decompress format in order to centralize client administrative tasks and provide metering to monitor client/server execution of application programs.

As per claim 38, Morimoto teaches selecting one of the plurality of servers having the highest conversion rating assigned thereto corresponding to the source format of the file archive; transmitting a location of the file archive on the Internet to the selected server; receiving from the selected server an index page including a plurality of links, each link corresponding to one of the plurality of files in the file archive written in a conversion format readable by the client computer, wherein the file archive written in the source format is converted by the selected server into the file archive written in the conversion format; and displaying the index page on the client computer (figures 1, 18 and 21 column 4, lines 49-56, column 8, lines 3-13, column 13, lines 31-56). Morimoto does not teach the source format being a compressed format and the conversion format for the file being decompressed format. However, Lau teaches it in figures 5, 12, column 5, lines 42-56. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to combine Morimoto's conversion with Lau's compress/decompress format in order to centralize client administrative tasks and provide metering to monitor client/server execution of application programs.

Application/Control Number: 09/874,630

Art Unit: 2144

Claims 11, 17, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Morimoto et al (6,789,057) in view of Miura et al (6,862,103).

As per claims 11 and 17, Morimoto does not teach the source format being an encrypted format and the conversion format for the file being decrypted format.

However, Miura teaches it in column 6, lines 15-21. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to combine Morimoto's conversion with Miura's encryption/decryption format in order to prevent client requests from waiting too long before reaching the server or from not reaching the server at all by selecting a network route for reply data of the server, which is different from that for request data.

As per claim 19, Morimoto teaches selecting one of the plurality of servers having the highest conversion rating assigned thereto corresponding to the source format of the file archive; transmitting a location of the file archive on the Internet to the selected server; receiving from the selected server an index page including a plurality of links, each link corresponding to one of the plurality of files in the file archive written in a conversion format readable by the client computer, wherein the file archive written in the source format is converted by the selected server into the file archive written in the conversion format; and displaying the index page on the client computer (figures 1, 18 and 21 column 4, lines 49-56, column 8, lines 3-13, column 13, lines 31-56). Morimoto does not teach the source format being an encrypted format and the conversion format for the file being decrypted format. However, Miura teaches it in column 6, lines 15-21. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to combine Morimoto's conversion with Miura's encryption/decryption format in order to prevent client requests

from waiting too long before reaching the server or from not reaching the server at all by selecting a network route for reply data of the server, which is different from that for request data.

Claims 3, 4, 21, 24, 27, 34, 39-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Morimoto et al (6,789,057) in view of Toyoda (6,880,019).

As per claims 3 and 27, Morimoto does not teach MIME. However, Toyoda teaches it in column 5, line 35. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to combine Morimoto's conversion with Toyoda's MIME in order to perform an image reception using a communication protocol, which needs an IP address for a receiver side, in accordance with, for example, a DHCP protocol in the network in which an IP address is assigned to a communication terminal from an outer section.

As per claims 4, 21, 24, 34 and 39, Morimoto teaches selecting one of the plurality of servers having the highest conversion rating assigned thereto corresponding to the source format of the received file (column 8, lines 3-13). Morimoto is silent with respect to receiving a file on the client computer, wherein the file is written in a source format unreadable by the client computer. However, it is inherent that if a computer does not posses the resource/software/programs to recognize a file it will be unreadable to the computer. Morimoto teaches the client requests the server to retrieve information concerning entries, which do not exist on the client, and executes a translation (figure 1, column 4, lines 49-56). Moreover, Morimoto teaches the client side recognizes a portion, which cannot be translated such as an unknown word by using a dictionary and

a grammar and also issues a retrieval request a translation knowledge such as dictionary information to the dictionary server (column 13, lines 31-36). Morimoto does not teach the broadcasting. However, Toyoda teaches it in the abstract. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to combine Morimoto's conversion with Toyoda's broadcast in order to perform an image reception using a communication protocol, which needs an IP address for a receiver side, in accordance with, for example, a DHCP protocol in the network in which an IP address is assigned to a communication terminal from an outer section.

As per claim 40, Morimoto teaches equipment for coupling to the network, wherein the client computer is capable of intermittently connecting to at least one of the plurality of sewers through the network; and a computer program stored on the memory and capable of being executed by the processor, wherein the program is capable of performing the steps of: receiving a file on the client computer, wherein the file is written in a source format unreadable by the client computer; and selecting one of the plurality of servers having the highest conversion rating assigned thereto corresponding to the source format of the received file (figures 1, 18 and 21 column 4, lines 49-56, column 8, lines 3-13, column 13, lines 31-56). Morimoto does not teach the broadcasting. However, Toyoda teaches it in the abstract. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to combine Morimoto's conversion with Toyoda's broadcast in order to perform an image reception using a communication protocol, which needs an IP address for a receiver side, in accordance with, for example, a DHCP protocol in the

network in which an IP address is assigned to a communication terminal from an outer section.

As per claims 41 and 42, it is inherent that if a computer does not posses the resource/software/programs to recognize a file it will be unreadable to the computer. Morimoto teaches the client requests the server to retrieve information concerning entries, which do not exist on the client, and executes a translation (figure 1, column 4, lines 49-56). Moreover, Morimoto teaches the client side recognizes a portion, which cannot be translated such as an unknown word by using a dictionary and a grammar and also issues a retrieval request a translation knowledge such as dictionary information to the dictionary server (column 13, lines 31-36).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5, 22 and 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per claims 5 and 22, it is unclear if the "selection criteria" requires two conditions (claim 20 and 22) to select a server or if it is another server selection meeting different criteria.

## Claim Objections

Claim 25 is objected to because of the following informalities: grammatical error (line 3). Appropriate correction is required.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olga Hernandez whose telephone number is 571-272-7144. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 8:30am-7:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley can be reached on 571-272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Olga Hernandez Examiner Art Unit 2144